UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 93-10024-CIV-KING

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE INTERNAL TRUST FUND OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA, and THE STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES,

plaintiffs,

v.

M/V MISS BEHOLDEN, (St. Vincent and Grenadines Official Number 2844) her engines apparel, tackle, appurtenances, etc., in rem,

and

BLUE FIBLDS SHIPPING, INC. a Florida corporation, BLUEFIELDS MARINE, LTD., a foreign corporation, LOUIS O'MEIR, HAROLD BENEDICT and ADRIAN BENEDICT, in personan,

Defendants,

## ORDER GRANTING PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGHENT

THIS CAUSE comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge William C. Turnoff Dated November 27, 1995 and Plaintiff's Motion For Summary Judgment Regarding Damages, filed September 13, 1995. No response to Plaintiff's motion was filed.

This Court entered an Order Granting the United States' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment June 27, 1994. That order established that Defendant's are strictly liable in personam to the United States for damages to be proved at trial. Plaintiff, United States, now moves this Court for summary judgment regarding damages, contending that there are no real issues of material fact to be decided.

**.** 

## Summary Judgment

Summary judgment is appropriate only if "there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and . . . the moving party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c). \*The party seeking summary judgment bears the exacting burden of demonstrating that there is no dispute as to any material fact in the case. Warrior Tomiquee Transp. Co. v. M/V Nan Fung, 695 F.2d 1294, 1296 (11th Cir. 1983) (citations omitted), "In assessing whether the movant has met this burden, the courts should view the evidence and all factual inferences therefrom in the light most favorable to the party opposing the motion." Clemons v. Dougherty County, Ga., 684 F.2d 1365, 1368 (11th Cir. 1982) (citation omitted). Although it is incumbent upon the responding party to "set forth specific facts showing that there is a genuine issue for trial," Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(e), "[t]he burden on the non-moving party is not a heavy one; he simply is required to show specific Tacts, as opposed to general allegations, that present a genuine issue worthy of trial." 10A Charles A. Wright, et al., Federal Practice and Procedure \$ 2727 (1983) (citing First Nat'l Bank of Az. v. Cities Serv. Co., 88 S.Ct. 1575, 1593 (1968).

## <u> MALYSIS</u>

In this case, Plaintiff, United States, has met its burden of proof establishing that no genuine issue of fact exists to preclude summary judgment regarding damages. Within the Motion For Summary Plaintiff has provided a damage assessment and restoration plan report (the report) that describes the injury and lost services of the sanctuary resource known as Western Sambo The report details the amount of habitat to be created to the describes and services, lost for including rubble removal, reef compensate replacement/restoration plan, replacement, and transplantation on injured spurs and reef replacement modules.

The report, prepared by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, gives a summary of estimated restoration and response costs, including restoration/habitat creation costs, monitoring costs, damage assessment and response costs, and interest. The Motion details why the United States is entitled to recover these various costs under the National Marine Sanctuary Act, discussing liability, liability in rem, and defenses.

## CONCLUSION

As discussed above Defendants have offered no evidence to refute these facts. They have not responded to the Plaintiff's notice for Summary Judgment Regarding Damages, filed September 13, 1995.

To meet their burden of proof, Defendants must do more than merely rely on the pleadings. They must offer some affirmative evidence whether by affidavit or exhibit tending to show the existence of a genuine issue of material fact. Since Defendants have chosen not to respond and since there is no evidence in the record tending to dispute the facts asserted by the United States, the Court will accept the Tacts described above as true.

Accordingly, after a careful review of the record, and the Court being otherwise fully advised, it is

ORDERED and ADJUDGED that the United State's Motion For Summary Judgment Regarding Damages, filed September 13, 1995 be, and the same is hereby GRANTED.

DONE and ORDERED in chambers at the United States District Courthouse, Federal Justice Building, Miami, Florida, this lst day of December, 1995.

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

cc: Peter F. Frost, AUSA David Mermell, Esq. Harold Benedict, pro se Adrian Benedict, pro se Bluefields Shipping, Inc. Bluefields Marine, Inc. Carlos Castillo, Esq. John W. Costigan, Bsq. Barrie Sawyer, Esq..