

MONTROSE SETTLEMENTS RESTORATION PROGRAM

FINAL RESTORATION PLAN AND PROGRAMMATIC ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT / ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

Natural Resource Trustees:

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (lead Federal agency)
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (cooperating agency)
National Park Service (cooperating agency)
California Department of Fish and Game (lead State of California agency)
California Department of Parks and Recreation (cooperating agency)
California State Lands Commission (cooperating agency)

October 2005

List of Preparers

Annie Little: MSRP staff, Bird Biologist
David Witting: MSRP staff, Fish Biologist
Jennifer Boyce: NOAA Restoration Center, Restoration Ecologist
Greg Baker: MSRP staff, Program Manager
Milena Viljoen: MSRP staff, Outreach Coordinator

Acknowledgements

The Trustees wish to acknowledge the contributions made to the development of this Restoration Plan by URS Corporation and MEC Analytical Systems, Inc.

Citation

Montrose Settlements Restoration Program, 2005. Final restoration plan and programmatic environmental impact statement, and environmental impact report. Report of the Montrose Settlements Restoration Program, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Park Service, California Department of Fish and Game, California Department of Parks and Recreation, and California State Lands Commission.

Copies

Copies may be requested from: **Montrose Settlements Restoration Program**
501 W. Ocean Blvd., Suite 4470
Long Beach, CA 90802
(866) 795-7786

Or by e-mail at: msrp@noaa.gov

Or from the MSRP web site at: www.montroserestoration.gov

Cover:

Photograph of the Southern California coast at White Point on the Palos Verdes Peninsula. Most of the DDTs and PCBs found in the sediments off the coast of Southern California entered the environment through the wastewater outfalls several miles offshore of White Point.

Photo by David Witting.

List of Agencies, Organizations, and Individuals to Whom Copies of the Final Restoration Plan and Programmatic EIS/EIR or Notice of its Availability Have Been Sent

The Natural Resource Trustees for the Montrose case (Trustees) have assembled a contact list of approximately 1,200 agencies, organizations, and individuals for the Montrose Settlements Restoration Program (MSRP). This list includes federal, state, and local agencies; commissions and special districts; elected officials; community-based organizations; environmental, fishing, and other special interest organizations; schools, universities, and research institutions; media outlets; and individuals who have asked to be placed on the contact list. Notice of availability of this document has been distributed via U.S. mail and/or e-mail to the entire MSRP contact list. Notice has also been placed on the MSRP web site, www.montroserestoration.gov. The entire document may be obtained from this web site or may be requested from the MSRP office in Long Beach, California, in hard copy or on a compact disk (CD) readable on a personal computer. A printed summary version of the document has also been prepared and may be obtained from the web site or the MSRP Long Beach office.

Also, copies of this document have been provided to the following agencies and organizations:

Federal Agencies

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
National Park Service
U.S. Department of the Navy
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

California State Agencies

Governor's Office of Planning and Research
Department of Fish and Game
Department of Parks and Recreation
State Lands Commission
Department of Boating and Waterways
Coastal Commission
Coastal Conservancy
Office of Historic Preservation
Department of Water Resources
State Water Resources Control Board
Native American Heritage Commission

List of Agencies, Organizations, and Individuals

Other Agencies

Secretary of the Environment and Natural Resources (SEMARNAT), Mexico

Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts

Santa Monica Bay Restoration Commission

Port of Los Angeles

Port of Long Beach

Organizations

Institute for Wildlife Studies

Catalina Island Conservancy

Island Conservation

Heal the Bay

Predatory Bird Research Group

Pacific Seabird Group

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title Page	i
List of Preparers	iii
List of Agencies, Organizations, and Individuals	v
Executive Summary.....	ES-1
Section 1 Purpose and Need for Proposed Action.....	1-1
1.1 Proposed Action: Implement Projects That Restore Natural Resources Injured and Services Lost Due to DDTs and PCBS Discharged to Coastal Waters of Southern California.....	1-1
1.2 Need for the Action: DDT and PCB Contamination and Natural Resource Injuries In the Southern California Bight.....	1-2
1.2.1 Geographic Target Area.....	1-3
1.2.2 Overview of Injuries to Natural Resources.....	1-3
1.2.3 Coordination With Cleanup Actions.....	1-5
1.3 Purpose of the Action: Restore Injured Natural Resources and Lost Services.....	1-5
1.4 Public Involvement	1-6
1.5 Administrative Record.....	1-8
Section 2 Summary of Natural Resource Damage Assessment, Litigation, and Montrose Settlements	2-1
2.1 Releases of DDTs and PCBs Into the Southern California Bight.....	2-1
2.1.1 DDTs.....	2-1
2.1.2 PCBs	2-6
2.2 Distribution of DDTs and PCBs in the Sediments of the Study Area.....	2-6
2.3 The Damage Assessment and Determinations of Injuries to Natural Resources	2-10
2.3.1 Sediment	2-10
2.3.2 Fish Reproduction.....	2-11
2.3.3 Birds.....	2-12
2.3.4 Marine Mammals	2-14
2.3.5 Summary of Natural Resource Injury Findings	2-15
2.4 Litigation and Settlements	2-16
2.5 Limitations on Uses of Settlement Funds for Natural Resource Restoration	2-18
Section 3 Affected Environment	3-1
3.1 Geology and Earth Resources.....	3-3
3.1.1 Bathymetry and Topography	3-3

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3.1.2	Shoreline Characteristics and Marine Sediments	3-8
3.1.3	Seismology.....	3-13
3.1.4	Liquefaction	3-13
3.1.5	Landslides	3-13
3.2	Oceanographic and Coastal Processes	3-15
3.2.1	Currents and Tides	3-15
3.2.2	Wave Characteristics	3-15
3.2.3	Sediment Transport.....	3-16
3.2.4	ENSO Events	3-17
3.2.5	Upwelling.....	3-17
3.3	Watershed and Coastal Water Quality	3-18
3.3.1	Watershed Descriptions	3-18
3.3.2	Coastal Reaches	3-26
3.3.3	Coastal Water Characteristics	3-30
3.4	Biological Resources	3-31
3.4.1	Marine and Coastal Habitats of the Study Area.....	3-31
3.4.2	Fish.....	3-43
3.4.3	Birds.....	3-45
3.4.4	Marine Mammals	3-57
3.4.5	Terrestrial Mammals.....	3-58
3.4.6	Threatened and Endangered Species	3-59
3.5	Land Use and Recreation.....	3-64
3.5.1	Coastal Land Use and Recreation.....	3-64
3.5.2	Land Use and Recreation on the Channel Islands	3-69
3.6	Aesthetics and Visual Resources	3-74
3.6.1	Coastal Aesthetics and Visual Resources	3-74
3.6.2	Channel Islands Aesthetics and Visual Resources.....	3-74
3.7	Transportation	3-79
3.7.1	Coastal Transportation	3-79
3.7.2	Channel Islands Transportation	3-79
3.8	Air Quality	3-80
3.9	Noise	3-80
3.9.1	Overview and Noise Standards.....	3-80
3.9.2	Coastal Noise Generators and Sensitive Receptors	3-81
3.9.3	Noise Generators and Sensitive Receptors on the Channel Islands	3-81
3.10	Cultural Resources	3-81
3.10.1	Prehistoric Overview	3-82
3.10.2	Historic Overview	3-82
3.10.3	Archaeological Resources.....	3-82
3.10.4	Historic Resources	3-83
3.11	Socioeconomics	3-83
3.11.1	Population and Age.....	3-85
3.11.2	Race and Ethnicity	3-86
3.11.3	Income, Household Size, and Poverty Status	3-86

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section 4	Restoration Goals and Plan Development	4-1
4.1	Goals, Objectives, and Strategies of the Montrose Settlements Restoration Program	4-1
4.1.1	Restoration Goals.....	4-2
4.1.2	Restoration Objectives	4-2
4.1.3	Restoration Strategies	4-3
4.2	Developing the Restoration Plan	4-3
4.2.1	Compiling Injury Benchmark Information	4-4
4.2.2	Projecting Future Trends in Contaminant Levels and Distribution	4-7
4.2.3	Soliciting and Formulating a Wide Range of Restoration Ideas	4-12
4.2.4	Completing a Tier 1 Evaluation of Preliminary Restoration Ideas	4-13
4.2.5	Tier 2 Evaluation of Restoration Ideas	4-13
4.2.6	Developing the Restoration Alternatives and Identifying the Preferred Alternative.....	4-13
4.2.7	Public Participation.....	4-13
4.3	Future Funding Considerations.....	4-14
Section 5	Tier 1 and Tier 2 Evaluations of Restoration Ideas.....	5-1
5.1	Tier 1 Criteria and Process.....	5-2
5.1.1	Developing Criteria.....	5-2
5.1.2	Process for Applying the Criteria within Each Restoration Category	5-6
5.2	Tier 1 Evaluation of Fishing and Fish Habitat Restoration Ideas	5-6
5.2.1	Fish Habitat Manipulations.....	5-7
5.2.2	Stock Enhancement.....	5-8
5.2.3	Fishing Access Improvements	5-8
5.2.4	Marine Protected Areas.....	5-8
5.2.5	Public Outreach and Education on Fishing.....	5-9
5.2.6	Other Fishing and Fish Habitat Ideas.....	5-9
5.3	Tier 1 Evaluation of Bird Restoration Ideas	5-12
5.3.1	Bald Eagles	5-12
5.3.2	Peregrine Falcons.....	5-13
5.3.3	Seabirds.....	5-15
5.4	Tier 1 Evaluation of Outreach Programs and Research Proposals	5-17
5.4.1	Outreach Programs.....	5-17
5.4.2	Research Proposals	5-18
5.5	Tier 2 Evaluation.....	5-18
5.5.1	Tier 2 Criteria.....	5-19
5.5.2	Results of the Tier 2 Evaluation.....	5-20

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section 6	Restoration Alternatives	6-1
6.1	Summaries of the Individual Actions That Received Detailed Evaluation	6-1
6.1.1	Fishing and Fish Habitat Restoration Actions	6-2
6.1.2	Bald Eagle Restoration Actions	6-6
6.1.3	Peregrine Falcon Restoration Actions	6-8
6.1.4	Seabird Restoration Actions	6-9
6.2	Restoration Alternatives, Including the Preferred Alternative	6-15
6.2.1	Development of Alternatives	6-15
6.2.2	Allocation of Restoration Funds Among Resource Categories	6-16
6.2.3	Alternative 1 (No Action)	6-17
6.2.4	Alternative 2 (Preferred)	6-17
6.2.5	Alternative 3	6-20
6.2.6	Summary of the Alternatives	6-23
6.3	Uncertainties	6-24
Section 7	Environmental Consequences	7-1
7.1	Introduction to Evaluation of Environmental Consequences	7-1
7.2	Direct and Indirect Impacts of the Alternatives	7-3
7.2.1	Alternative 1 (No Action)	7-3
7.2.2	Alternatives 2 and 3	7-4
7.3	Cumulative Impacts	7-24
7.3.1	Alternative 1 (No Action)	7-29
7.3.2	Alternatives 2 and 3	7-29
7.4	Other NEPA- and CEQA-Mandated Discussions	7-37
7.4.1	Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitment of Resources and Environmental Changes	7-37
7.4.2	Relationship between Short-Term Uses of the Environment and the Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity	7-38
7.4.3	Growth-Inducing Impacts	7-38
7.4.4	Significant and Unavoidable Adverse Impacts	7-38
Section 8	Applicable Laws and Regulations	8-1
8.1	Overview	8-1
8.2	Key Statutes, Regulations, and Policies	8-1
8.2.1	Federal Statutes and Executive Orders	8-1
8.2.2	State Statutes	8-9
8.2.3	Other Potentially Applicable Statutes and Regulations	8-12
8.2.4	List of Potential Permits or Other Approvals	8-12
Section 9	Responses to Public Comments	9-1
9.1	General Comments	9-1
9.1.1	Identity of the Montrose Settlements Restoration Program	9-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

9.1.2	Noise Impacts.....	9-2
9.1.3	Use of Restoration Funds for Site Cleanup.....	9-2
9.1.4	Restoration Timing/Coordination with EPA	9-3
9.1.5	Overall Allocation of Restoration Funds	9-3
9.1.6	Swing Money	9-4
9.1.7	Past Natural Resource Damage Assessment and Litigation Costs.....	9-4
9.1.8	Outreach and Education.....	9-6
9.1.9	Research and Monitoring.....	9-7
9.1.10	Methodology for Analyzing Alternatives	9-7
9.1.11	Tier 1 and Tier 2 Action Criteria: Nexus (Physical Proximity)	9-9
9.1.12	Tier 1 and Tier 2 Action Criteria: Benefits (to the Public).....	9-12
9.1.13	Tier 1 and Tier 2 Action Criteria: Environmental Acceptability (Cumulative Impacts).....	9-12
9.1.14	Impact Analyses, Including Impacts to Threatened and Endangered Species	9-13
9.1.15	Potential Impacts to the Ventura River Watershed.....	9-13
9.1.16	Implementation of Actions Not Passed to the Tier 2 Evaluation	9-13
9.1.17	General Comments on Restoration Alternatives.....	9-13
9.1.18	Comments on Applicable Laws and Regulations	9-14
9.2	Fishing and Fish Habitat Comments.....	9-14
9.2.1	Flexibility of Funding Within the Fishing and Fish Habitat Category.....	9-14
9.2.2	Reconsideration of Tier 1 Idea.....	9-15
9.2.3	New Fishing and Fish Habitat Restoration Ideas.....	9-15
9.2.4	Comments on “Construct Artificial Reefs and Fishing Access Improvements”	9-20
9.2.5	Comments on “Provide Public Information to Restore Lost Fishing Services”	9-23
9.2.6	Comments on “Restore Full Tidal Exchange Wetlands”.....	9-24
9.2.7	Comments on “Augment Funds for Implementing Marine Protected Areas in California”	9-25
9.3	Bald Eagle Restoration Comments	9-25
9.3.1	General Bald Eagle Comments.....	9-25
9.3.2	Funding Allocation for Bald Eagle Restoration.....	9-26
9.3.3	Suggested Funding Scenarios for Bald Eagle Restoration	9-27
9.3.4	Reproductive Status of Bald Eagles on Santa Catalina Island.....	9-29
9.3.5	Public Access to Bald Eagles.....	9-30
9.3.6	Potential Benefits of Funding the Santa Catalina Island Bald Eagle Program	9-31
9.3.7	Potential Impacts of Not Funding the Santa Catalina Island Bald Eagle Program	9-32
9.3.8	Humane Treatment of Bald Eagles	9-34

TABLE OF CONTENTS

9.3.9	Bald Eagles and the Santa Catalina Island Economy	9-34
9.3.10	New Bald Eagle Restoration Ideas	9-34
9.3.11	NEPA Documentation	9-36
9.3.12	Ecosystem-Level Restoration	9-36
9.4	Peregrine Falcon Restoration Comments.....	9-37
9.4.1	Use of the Term “Natural Recovery” for Peregrine Falcons	9-37
9.4.2	Allocation of Funds to Peregrine Falcon Restoration.....	9-37
9.4.3	Active Restoration of Peregrine Falcons on Santa Catalina Island.....	9-38
9.4.4	Budget and Time Frame for Peregrine Falcon Restoration	9-38
9.4.5	Threat of Peregrine Falcon Restoration to Seabird Populations.....	9-39
9.5	Seabird Restoration Comments.....	9-39
9.5.1	Seabird Nexus	9-39
9.5.2	Seabird Restoration on Baja California, Mexico	9-40
9.5.3	Additional Seabird Data Gap Studies	9-40
9.5.4	Additional Long-Term Seabird Monitoring in the Southern California Bight	9-41
9.5.5	Restoration of Additional Seabird Species and Locations.....	9-41
9.5.6	Impacts to Humans Who Consume Seabirds.....	9-42
9.5.7	Impacts to Seabirds from Other Restoration Actions	9-42
9.5.8	Comments on “Restore Alcids to Santa Barbara Island”.....	9-42
9.5.9	Comments on “Restore Seabirds to Scorpion Rock”	9-44
9.5.10	Comments on “Restore Seabirds to Baja California Pacific Islands”	9-46
9.5.11	Comments on “Restore Ashy Storm-Petrels to Anacapa Island”	9-46

Appendices

A	Tier 2 Evaluation of Fishing and Fish Habitat Restoration Actions
A1	Construct Artificial Reefs and Fishing Access Improvements
A2	Provide Public Information to Restore Lost Fishing Services
A3	Restore Full Tidal Exchange Wetlands
A4	Augment Funds for Implementing Marine Protected Areas in California
B	Restore Bald Eagles to the Channel Islands
C	Tier 2 Evaluation of Peregrine Falcon Restoration Actions
C1	Restore Peregrine Falcons to the Channel Islands
C2	Monitor the Recovery of Peregrine Falcons on the Channel Islands
C3	Restore Peregrine Falcons to the Baja California Pacific Islands

TABLE OF CONTENTS

D	Tier 2 Evaluation of Seabird Restoration Actions
D1	Restore Seabirds to San Miguel Island
D2	Restore Alcids to Santa Barbara Island
D3	Restore Seabirds to San Nicolas Island
D4	Restore Seabirds to Scorpion and Orizaba Rocks
D5	Restore Seabirds to Baja California Pacific Islands
D6	Create/Enhance/Protect California Brown Pelican Roost Habitat
D7	Implement an Entanglement Reduction and Outreach Program to Protect Seabird Populations
D8	Restore Ashy Storm-Petrels to Anacapa Island
E	Montrose Natural Resource Damage Assessment and Litigation Timeline
F	Summary of Montrose Settlements
	References

Tables

ES-1	Comparison of Restoration Alternatives
3.1-1	Coastal Shore Elevation and Shoreline Types Within the Coastal Reaches of the Study Area
3.1-2	Elevation, Size, and Shoreline Types for Channel Islands
3.2-1	Tide Datums
3.3-1	Beneficial Use Definitions for Water Bodies in the Study Area
3.3-2	Summary of Beneficial Uses and Impairments Within Coastal Reaches and Channel Island Subareas of the Study Area
3.3-3	Characterization of Watersheds and Coastal Features Within the Study Area
3.4-1	Summary of Recreational Landings (Released Fish Excluded) and Fish Consumption Advisories for Species Targeted by Anglers in Southern California, 1999–2003
3.4-2	Summary of Wetland Size and Habitat Types in the Study Area
3.4-3	List of Seabirds with Breeding Colonies on the Channel Islands
3.4-4	Threatened and Endangered Plants of the Channel Islands
3.4-5	Threatened and Endangered Wildlife within the Study Area
3.9-1	Baseline Noise Environment, Noise Generators, and Sensitive Noise Receptors in the Study Area
3.11-1	Los Angeles and Orange Counties: Population and Age (2000)
3.11-2	Los Angeles and Orange Counties: Race and Ethnicity (2000)
3.11-3	Los Angeles and Orange Counties: Income, Household Size, and Poverty Level (2000)
5-1	Relationship between MSRP Evaluation Criteria and Evaluation Factors Listed in the Federal Natural Resource Damage Assessment Regulations (43 CFR Part 11)
5-2	List of Ideas to Restore Fishing and Fish Habitats
5-3	List of Ideas to Restore Bald Eagles
5-4	List of Ideas to Restore Peregrine Falcons
5-5	List of Ideas to Restore Seabirds
5-6	List of Public Outreach and Research Ideas
6-1	Restoration Actions for Which this Programmatic EIS/EIR Constitutes Complete NEPA/CEQA Review
6-2	Comparison of Restoration Alternatives
8-1	List of Permits, Consultations, or Other Approvals That May Be Required for MSRP Restoration Actions

List of Tables and Figures

- 9-1 Summary of Damage Assessment Costs for the Montrose Case
- 9-2 Relationship between MSRP Evaluation Criteria and Evaluation Factors Listed in the Federal Natural Resource Damage Assessment Regulations (43 CFR Part 11)

Figures

- ES-1 Geographic extent of the Southern California Bight.
- ES-2 Actions and fund allocations in Alternative 2 (Preferred) and Alternative 3.
- ES-3 Geographic locations of actions included in Alternative 2 (Preferred).
- 1-1 Geographic extent of the Southern California Bight.
- 2-1 Location of Montrose plant, LACSD Joint Water Pollution Control Plant, and outfalls.
- 2-2 Concentrations of effluent constituents discharged to the ocean off Palos Verdes, 1971–2001.
- 2-3 Palos Verdes Shelf, Los Angeles Harbor, Long Beach Harbor, and Dump Sites 1 & 2.
- 2-4 Distribution of DDTs and PCBs in surface sediments in and beyond the Palos Verdes Shelf.
- 3.0-1 Study area for the Montrose Settlements Restoration Program with coastal and island subareas.
- 3.1-1 Geomorphic setting of the region.
- 3.1-2 Major geologic provinces and seafloor characteristics of the Southern California Bight.
- 3.1-3 Elevation and bathymetry along the coastline of the study area.
- 3.1-4 Oblique view of the Palos Verdes Shelf and slope based on multi-beam bathymetry.
- 3.1-5 Elevation and bathymetry for Channel Islands.
- 3.1-6 Multibeam backscatter image of seafloor characteristics along the mainland coast within the study area.
- 3.1-7 Major faults within the study area.
- 3.3-1 Watersheds and impaired water bodies within the study area.
- 3.4-1 Schematic diagram of fishes within rocky reef and kelp bed habitats.
- 3.4-2 Major DDT/PCB pathways and the role of fish in the transfer of DDTs and PCBs to upper trophic levels.
- 3.4-3 Active bald eagle territories and points of reference on Santa Catalina Island, California.

List of Tables and Figures

- 3.4-4 Most heavily used foraging areas for selected seabirds in the SCB.
- 3.4-5 California brown pelican breeding and roosting sites in the SCB.
- 3.5-1 Coastal cities and communities, Los Angeles County.
- 3.5-2 Coastal cities and communities, Orange County.
- 3.5-3 Generalized locations of recreational resources, Coastal Reaches 1 and 2.
- 3.5-4 Generalized locations of recreational resources, Coastal Reaches 3, 4, 5, and 6.
- 3.5-5 Dive sites and recreational sites, Northern Channel Islands.
- 3.5-6 Dive sites and recreational sites, Southern Channel Islands.
- 3.6-1 Representative photographs of the Los Angeles and Orange County coastline environment.
- 3.6-2 Representative photographs of the Channel Islands.
- 3.10-1 Generalized locations of known shipwrecks.
- 4-1 Sites where EPA conducted a pilot capping study in 2000.
- 6-1 Changes in fish community structure with the placement of an artificial reef.
- 6-2 Marine Protected Areas near the Channel Islands.
- 6-3 Potential options and preferred options for restoring bald eagles to the Channel Islands for the different possible outcomes of the ongoing NCI Feasibility Study.
- 6-4 Baja California Pacific Islands.
- 6-5 Illustration of the collective restoration actions and funding distributions proposed under Alternative 2 (Preferred) and Alternative 3.
- 9-1 Results from 1981 state mussel watch survey and 1988 federal mussel watch survey that examine relative contamination levels in shellfish in various locations throughout the Channel Islands.
- 9-2 Concentrations of DDTs (top panel) and PCBs (bottom panel) in benthic soft-bottom fishes collected in Santa Monica Bay, Palos Verdes Shelf, San Pedro Bay, and Catalina Island.

µg/g	micrograms per gram
ACC	Avian Conservation Center
ATTC	American Trader Trustee Council
C	Centigrade
CAA	Clean Air Act
CARB	State of California Air Resources Board
CBO	community-based organization
CDFG	California Department of Fish and Game
CDPR	California Department of Parks and Recreation
CESA	California Endangered Species Act
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (Superfund)
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
cm	centimetres
CMS	Center for Marine Studies
CO	carbon monoxide
Commission	California Coastal Commission
CONANP	National Commission of National Protected Areas (Mexico)
CSLC	California State Lands Commission
CWA	Clean Water Act
CZMA	Coastal Zone Management Act
dBA	decibels
DDD	dichloro-2,2-bis (p-chlorophenyl) ethane
DDE	dichloro-diphenyl-dichloroethylene
DDT	dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane
DDTs	total DDT, or the sum of DDT, DDD, and DDE isomers
DO	Dissolved oxygen
DTSC	California Department of Toxic Substances Control
EA	Environmental Assessment
EE/CA	engineering evaluation and cost analysis
EFH	essential fish habitat
EIR	Environmental Impact Report

EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
ENSO	El Niño Southern Oscillation
EO	executive order
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
F	Fahrenheit
FAD	Fish Aggregation Device
FCEC	Fish Contamination Education Collaborative
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
GC	General Counsel
GIS	Geographic Information System
IC	institutional control
INRMP	Integrated Natural Resources Management Plan
IWS	Institute for Wildlife Studies
JWPCP	Joint Water Pollution Control Plant (LACSD)
kg	kilogram
km	kilometre
km ²	square kilometres
LACSD	Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts
LGEEPA	General Law of Ecological Balance and Environmental Protection (Mexico)
LNG	liquefied natural gas
m	meter
MBTA	Migratory Bird Treaty Act
mg/L	milligrams per liter
mi ²	square miles
MLLW	mean lower low water
MLPA	Marine Life Protection Act
MMCC	Marine Mammal Care Center
MMPA	Marine Mammal Protection Act
MOA	Memorandum of Agreement
Montrose	Montrose Chemical Corporation
MPA	Marine Protected Area

MRFSS	Marine Recreational Fishing Statistical Survey
MSRP	Montrose Settlements Restoration Program
NAAQS	national ambient air quality standards
NCI	Northern Channel Islands
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NMSA	National Marine Sanctuaries Act
NO ₂	nitrogen dioxide
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOAA Fisheries	National Marine Fisheries Service
NPS	National Park Service
NRDA	Natural Resource Damage Assessment
O ₃	ozone
OEHHA	California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
PAH	polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon
Pb	lead
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
PISCO	Partnership for Interdisciplinary Study of Coastal Oceans
PM ₁₀	particulate matter equal to or less than 10 microns in size
PM _{2.5}	particulate matter equal to or less than 2.5 microns in size
POLA	Port of Los Angeles
ppb	parts per billion
ppm	parts per million
ppt	parts per thousand
PROFEPA	Federal Environmental Protection Agency (Mexico)
PRP	potentially responsible party
PSRPA	Park System Resource Protections Act
PVPLC	Palos Verdes Peninsula Land Conservancy
RecFIN	Pacific Recreational Fisheries Information Network
Record	Administrative Record
ROV	remotely operated vehicle
SCB	Southern California Bight
SCWI	Southern California Watershed Inventory
SEMARNAT	Secretary of the Environment and National Resources (Mexico)

List of Acronyms

SO ₂	sulfur dioxide
SONGS	San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station
Trustees	Natural Resource Trustees for the Montrose case
U.S.C.	United States Code
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
WRP	Southern California Wetlands Recovery Project